

### MARINE BIODIVERSITY RESEARCH

Prediction and Management of Australia's Marine Biodiversity



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● のへで

# RAD Biodiversity: Modelling many species' counts together

March 2009, Keio University

Scott Foster (CSIRO Mathematical and Information Science) Piers Dunstan (CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research)

## Outline

Voyage of Discovery

The Question

Our Approach RAD Description Modelling RADs Application to WA data Current Limitations

#### Conclusions





イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト ヨー ろくで

### Voyage of Discovery

Aim was to categorise biodiversity on lower shelf and upper slopes

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト ヨー ろくで

- Study area was south west Australia
- 120 benthic samples taken
- · 6 phyla used in this study
- Species counts generated by museum experts



### Voyage of Discovery – sample locations





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

# Voyage of Discovery – biology data

#### 1548 species encountered

- 55% found at only one site
- 89.7% found at 5 or less sites
- Most abundant species found at only 25 sites

#### Very little information on each species!

· Almost excludes species based analysis





#### Why Look at These Data?











◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

### Why look at these data?

#### • To investigate biodiversity – of course!

- · Very vague
- · Many possible definitions of biodiversity

・ロト ・ 日本 ・ 日本 ・ 日本

-

· Many aspects to biodiversity



### **Our Question**

#### How does biodiversity change with the environment?

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ 日ト ・ 日 ・

- Do not want biodiversity based on species
- Do want biodiversity based on species observations
- Biodiversity indices are an option
  - · Do not seem to vary with covariates appropriately



## Our Approach

Model rank abundance distributions (RADs) of observed species counts

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト ヨー ろくで

- · Multivariate outcomes
- Not species based
- Species counts preserved
- Allow RADs to change with the environment



### What is a RAD?

- Rank Abundance Distribution
- Listing of the observed species counts from most abundant to least abundant
- Species labels are then discarded
- Not dependent on species identity can compare different types of communities

うして ふゆう ふほう ふほう しょうく

Multivariate observation



### **RAD** examples





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ ◇◇◇

# Information in a RAD

#### RADs capture information on:

- The number of individuals (N)
- The number of species (S), and
- The relative abundance of those species (n)
- These are three attributes of a community that biodiversity if often defined by.

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ 日ト ・ 日



## Modelling goals

- Model multivariate RAD observation as a function of environmental gradients
- Predict RADs and/or relevant measures of biodiversity with sensible measures of uncertainty





### The modelling approach – Broadly

- The RAD is completely defined by (S, n)
  - Equivalent to (S, N, n) with sum constraint
  - · Still a multivariate response
- Model these aspects through

 $\Pr(S, N, \boldsymbol{n}) = \Pr(N) \Pr(S|N) \Pr(\boldsymbol{n}|N, S)$ 

#### Modelling task now decomposed into 3 separate tasks

- A model for abundance (univariate)
- · A model for conditional richness (univariate), and
- A model for relative abundance (multivariate).



# A Model for Total Abundance

- Generalized linear models and similar
- Select covariates
- Diagnostics using randomised quantile residuals, *sans* randomisation

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト ヨー ろくで



## A Model for Conditional Species Richness

- Species richness is commonly analysed *marginally* to abundance via GLM etc
- Conditionally the statistical model should reflect the identity  $S \leq N$
- We use a truncated Poisson or Negative Binomial model with log-likelihood

$$\ell_{s}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{s}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{s}; \boldsymbol{S} | \boldsymbol{N}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{T} \left[\log \left\{ \Pr\left(Y = S_{i}\right) \right\} - \log \left\{\Pr\left(Y \le N_{i}\right) \right\} \right]$$



# A Model for Conditional Species Richness

- Estimation via maximisation of log-likelihood using numerical methods
- Model selection
- Diagnostics using quantile residuals
- Expectations available using brute-force
- *Marginal* species richness predictions via parametric bootstrap ('integrating' out total abundance)

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)



# A Model for Conditional Relative Abundance

- Condition N individuals into S categories (ranks)
- Could use multinomial framework but need to specify mean probabilities
  - Decreasing function (due to ranking)
  - · Many (many!) possible from theoretical ecology
  - We use a relation of the broken stick (niche pre-emption) as this empirically agreed with data

$$p_{ij} = \frac{1}{K} \exp\left(-\beta_i \log j\right)$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ヨー ろくで

- Defines all  $S_i$  probabilities with single parameter  $\beta_i$
- Model  $\beta_i$  as a linear combination of environmental gradients



# A Model for Conditional Relative Abundance

- Model selection
- Quantile residuals used to inspect mean model
- Raw residuals used to inspect variance model (not standardised)
- Residuals not great for multinomial model (next slide)
- Marginal predictions available via parametric bootstrap



### Multinomial Model Residuals





# Extensions of Multinomial Model

Dirichlet-multinomial (DM) provides constant over-dispersion

- · Provides modest benefit to model fit
- · Not worth the effort
- Modified DM (M-DM) obtained by modifying DM
  - Alter the beta-binomial marginals of the DM so that over-dispersion is a decreasing function of rank
  - · Some optimisation heart-ache but is now conquered

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ 日下 ・ 日下

Residuals look much better (see previous slide)



# Biodiversity Measures from RAD Model

- Many (all?) ecologists would consider the following base information:
  - · the amount of life
  - the variety of life (richness)
  - the manner in which communities are structures (e.g. evenness)

#### These are available through this model as

- Total abundance
- Marginal Species richness
- Derivative of probability function for marginal evenness
- All other indices used can be derived from the information
  provided from the predicted RAD



### Voyage of Discovery Data – abundance





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

### Voyage of Discovery Data – richness





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

### Voyage of Discovery Data – evenness





▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のくで

# Limitations and Future Needs

#### Biomass data

- Model for relative abundance is not quite right
  - · Likelihood doesn't guarantee decreasing observations

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト ヨー ろくで

- Zeros
- Still useful
- More theoretical work on the modified
  Dirichlet-multinomial
- Computing speed
  - Estimation (automatic differentiation?)
  - Bootstrap predictions



### Conclusions

#### · We feel the RAD approach is a useful first step

- Models ecologically meaningful quantity
- · Indices predicted as simple summaries of models
- Still require polishing and generalisation





-

### Conclusions (cont.)

- Plenty to do
- Plenty to consider
- A lot of options
- But very few simple solutions!
- · Lots of interesting issues (statistically and ecologically)





・ロト ・ 四ト ・ 日ト ・ 日下



**Geoscience** Australia







▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ シタペ





**Australian Government** 



イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト ヨー ろくで

- Alan Williams, Franzis Althaus, Felicity McEnnulty, Rudy Kloser, Gordon Keith, and Gary Poore
- Captain and Officers of the RV Southern Surveyor
- Jeff Dunn and Mike Fuller



# Bibliography



Dunn, P. K. and Smyth, G. K. (1996).

Randomized Quantile Residuals. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics 5, 236-244.



Dunstan, P. K. and Foster, S. D. (2009).

RAD biodiversity: Prediction of rank abundance distributions from deep water benthic assemblages. in review.



Foster, S. D. and Dunstan, P. K. (2009).

The Analysis of Biodiversity Using Rank Abundance Distributions. *Biometrics* to appear.



McGill, B. J., Etienne, R. S., Gray, J. S., Alonso, D., Anderson, M. J., Benecha, H. K., Dornelas, M., Enquist, B. J., Green, J. L., He, F., Hurlbert, A. H., Magurran, A. E., Marquet, P. A., Maruer, B. A., Ostling, A., Soykan, C. U., Ugland, K. I., and White, E. P. (2007). Species abundance distributions: Moving beyond single prediction theories to integration within an ecological framework. *Ecology Letters* 10, 995–1015.

・ロト ・ 日本 ・ 日本 ・ 日本

-

